## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	United States of America	)			
	v.	) Case No. 5:15-CR-161-1D			
	ELISEO GANDARILLA MENDIOLA	) Case No. 6.16 GR 161 12			
	Defendant	)			
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL					
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require that the defendant be detained pending trial.					
	Part I—Fi	ndings of Fact			
$\square$ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted					
	of $\Box$ a federal offense $\Box$ a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal				
	jurisdiction had existed - that is				
	☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. for which the prison term is 10 years or mo	§ 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) re.			
	☐ an offense for which the maximum sentenc	e is death or life imprisonment.			
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison ter	m of ten years or more is prescribed in			
		.*			
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:				
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:				
	☐ a minor victim				
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or de	structive device or any other dangerous weapon			
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2	2250			
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was commifederal, state release or local offense.	tted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a			
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed sinc	e the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release			
	from prison for the offense described in finding	(1).			
□ (4)		e presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.			
	Alternative Findings (A)				
<b>1</b> (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defe	ndant has committed an offense			
	for which a maximum prison term of ten ye	ars or more is prescribed in 21 USC 801, et seq .			
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).				

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

<b>(</b> 2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established the defendant's appearance and the safety of the com-		will reasonably assure
	Alternative Fig.	ndings (B)	
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap	pear.	
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	ger the safety of another person or the	e community.
	Part II— Statement of the		
I	I find that the testimony and information submitted at t	he detention hearing establishes by	clear and
Bas be i	ing evidence	hearing, there is no condition or combinat pearance and/or the safety of another pernation of conditions, that can be imposed erson or the community.  The lack of stable employment  The lack of a suitable custodian  The fact that the charges arose while  The history of probation revocations the presumption in favor of detention.	rson or the community. which would reasonably
	Part III—Directions Re	egarding Detention	
in a corresponding a order of U	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attornerctions facility separate, to the extent practicable, from a appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable funited States Court or on request of an attorney for the cliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a confidence of the	n persons awaiting or serving sentence opportunity to consult privately with Government, the person in charge of the	ces or held in custody defense counsel. On
Date: Ju	une 16, 2015	Robert T Nu	mberste

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge
Printed name and title

Judge's signature